

# SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT BOARD (SOMB) MINUTES

Friday, March 19, 2021

**THIS MEETING WAS HELD VIA AUDIO/VIDEO CONFERENCING  
ONLY**

## SOMB Members

Allison Boyd  
Angel Weant  
Carl Blake  
Glenn Knipscheer  
Gregg Kildow  
Jeff Shay  
Jesse Hansen  
Kari Moore  
Katie Abeyta  
Kimberly Kline  
Lisa Mayer  
Michelle Simmons  
Norma Aguilar-Dave  
Rick May  
Robin Singer  
Sharon Holbrook  
Steve Moreno  
Taber Powers  
Theresa Weiss

## SOMB Guests

Alison Talley	Levi Middleton
Amira Minazzi	Lindsay Klatt
Ashley Nelson	Madeha Farrar
Caitlin Schade	Marcie Sinn
Casey Ballinger	Margaret Ochoa
Dale Jenkins	Marla Bianco
Debra Baty	Marsha Brewer
Gabriel Garcia	Martha Lugo
Genie Connaghan	Max Will
Hillary Hernandez	Maytal Schmidt
Jalice Vigil	Nicole Amundson
James Bailey	Rachelle Boespflug
Jamie Spinello	Roger Kincade
Janelle Feldmann	Sara Phelps
Jenna Harper	Sarah Marlow
Jessica Way	Sera Bennet
Jill Perry	Stephanie Bartmann
Joel Malecka	Stephen Scippio
Katie Mancinelli	Sue Ferrere
Kris Gibson	Susan Walker
Kyle Jones	Tami Floyd
Lauren Rivas	Tanya Ahamed
Laurie Kepros	Todd Hanenberg

**Absent SOMB Members:** Christina Ortiz-Marquez, Kathy Heffron, and Judge Marcelo Kopcow

**Staff:** Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, Marina Borysov, Erin Austin, Raechel Alderete, Elliot Moen, Yuanting Zhang, Baylee Hodack, and Jill Trowbridge

**SOMB Meeting Begins: 9:06 am**

This meeting was recorded.

**ORIENTATION TO THE MEETING:**

Kim Kline (SOMB Vice-Chair) introduced herself, and noted that it has been one year since the SOMB began meeting virtually.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) introduced himself and welcomed all in attendance.

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) introduced herself, reviewed the various aspects of this virtual meeting, and indicated how it will be conducted. She noted she will be the contact for technical support, and mentioned that she will monitor any questions or remarks in the chat and in the question and answer functions.

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) announced to the SOMB members that if they need to step out or come back into the meeting, to please let her know for record keeping purposes. She also reminded all of those attendees who are not SOMB members that they should not vote.

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) also indicated that those SOMB members making a motion on a decision item should do so verbally, so all in attendance can hear.

**INTRODUCTIONS/ATTENDANCE:**

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) announced the SOMB members in attendance. He welcomed Theresa Weiss on her appointment to the SOMB as the juvenile mental health representative.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) announced the staff members in attendance.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) announced the guests in attendance.

**FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:****SOMB Members:**

Angel Weant (SOMB Member) asked for future discussion regarding pending revocations from treatment and the backlog due to the high number of court cases. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) responded that the Executive Committee will take this under consideration and will determine which committee will be best to discuss this issue.

**Audience:**

None

**ANNOUNCEMENTS:****Staff:**

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) announced:

- Conference Update – She mentioned that the Training Committee has reviewed all the submitted proposals for the conference, which is scheduled for July 14 – July 16, 2021, and noted that registration will open in April.
- Awards Update – She noted that the announcement calling for award nominations has been sent to all SOMB members and stakeholders. Marina Borysov indicated that she will put a link to the nomination application in the chat box, and encouraged all to submit their nominations.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff):

- Provider Investigations Process – Raechel Alderete noted that the new and reapplication background checks were formerly handled by a 3<sup>rd</sup> party contactor, and noted that this will be handled in-house now

with no change in fees. Raechel Alderete indicated that this is effective immediately, and noted that contact will be made from the Office of Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Management (ODVSOM) regarding references and needed information for background checks. She also mentioned that this change in the background investigation process will be included in the next bulletin.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff):

- Use Immunity Standard – Erin Austin reviewed some of the implementation concerns with this Standard which covers whether use immunity has been offered to a client under appeal and if a variance is applicable or not.
- Extension of the Tele-Mental Health variance plan – Erin Austin indicated that over 300 providers have used the tele-mental health treatment variance, and noted that a variance extension agreement will be sent to all providers within the next two weeks in order to continue tele-mental health treatment. She asked any providers that need this extension to sign this variance agreement which will be in effect until the SOMB, the Best Practices Committee, and the Application Review Committee can determine all the parameters for how this might be used going forward as a possible standard. Erin Austin encouraged all providers who use this variance to keep accurate documentation of which clients are being treated under this variance, and that they follow the terms indicated in the variance. She also indicated that if a new agreement has not been received, then the SOMB will note that a provider is no longer treating clients through tele-mental health.
- Erin Austin noted that Kim Kline and Carl Blake (SOMB Members) have created online webinars available to treatment providers to provide education on best practices when using tele-mental health.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that Jessica Meza (SOMB Member) has retired from the SOMB effective today, 3/19/21.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) gave a brief update on the Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion (EDI) SOMB. He thanked all who have participated in this work, and noted that this will continue to be addressed in all aspects of the work of the SOMB. He indicated the following EDI work that has been done:

(Handout included)

SOMB Training:

- Dismantling Implicit Bias – 2-hour training
- Implicit Bias Workshops report-back
- A presentation was given on the Cultural Considerations in Sex Offense Treatment by Dr. Apryl Alexander

Policy Development of including EDI in the following areas:

- SONICS risk categorization and risk instruments in general
- The Female Sex Trafficking White Paper – recognized bias in the paper and have addressed that bias
- The Adult Standards Guiding Principles – incorporation of cultural competency
- VASOR/SOTIPS Booster Trainings – now prompts discussion regarding different ethnic and racial groups

SOMB and Committee Representation:

- Incorporated EDI into the nomination form and process
- All existing SOMB members have completed the new nomination form
- SOMB and DVOMB are a model for the Division
- Work on policies and strategies for how to incorporate EDI into Board work

SOMB Representation by race, ethnicity and gender breakdown (see graph)

SOMB Representation Compared to Colorado Population and Registrants (see graph)

SOMB Conference, Training, and Presentations

- Added EDI questions to conferenced presentation selection process

- Will ask same EDI questions for any other SOMB training requests
- Will ask same EDI questions of all speakers coming before the SOMB to do a presentation

#### Future Plans

- Departmental and Division EDI initiative
- Possible Committee Work
- Recurring SOMB Agenda for Accountability
- Determine how to best incorporate into Board work

#### Action Steps

- Staff will be working to reduce racial and ethnic disparities and Federal grant program specialist, Anna Lopez, with the Colorado Department of Public Safety (CDPS) for guidance
- Staff are looking for opportunities to provide presentations for continuing education to the Board regarding EDI, cultural competency, and marginalized populations
- Staff and committees will continue to look for new research and data to help guide the SOMB process to be most effective with implementation of new policies or procedures
- All committees will continue to work on incorporating and highlights EDI within their work and how it can benefit the Board

#### Important Questions:

- Who is the underrepresented, disadvantaged stakeholder you would like to reach?
- What engagements have we had with these stakeholders?
- Are we or are we not including them in decision-making?
- What are the barriers or limitations?

#### **Board Discussion:**

Carl Blake (SOMB Staff) asked if there will there be a summary for the SOMB regarding information that was gained from the technical experience and lived experience SOMB nomination forms. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) agreed to compile that information and make it available.

#### **Audience Discussion:**

An audience member asked if we are confident that the information presented is an accurate representation of self-identification. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) responded that the data for the registry is information that is provided by the registrants, and is inputted by law enforcement. He mentioned this information is being reported out of the Registry Data collection system which may have some lack of precision in the various categories. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky noted that there may be some variance with the registry information, but ensured the audience member that the information collected by the SOMB is accurate. Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) responded that this information can also be found on Google.

#### **Board Announcements:**

None

#### **Audience Announcements:**

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) announced that this week is Public Defense week which honors the attorneys who represent those who are too poor to defend themselves. She indicated that Colorado has a high number of the best attorneys in the nation, and mentioned that these attorneys are proud to represent their clients, are loyal to them, and aspire to give them the highest representation possible.

## **APPROVAL OF FEBRUARY MINUTES – (Attachment #1)**

***Carl Blake (SOMB Member) moved to approve the February Minutes as amended.  
Steve Moreno (SOMB Member) 2<sup>nd</sup> the motion.***

Dale Jenkins (Audience Member) asked to change six polygraphs to fifteen polygraphs with six different examiners on page 4. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) made the suggested change to the February minutes.

Elliot Moen reminded the audience not to participate in the vote, and asked the SOMB members to click "submit" to ensure their vote is recorded.

## **Motion to approve the February Minutes as amended: Carl Blake; Steve Moreno 2<sup>nd</sup> (Question #1)**

<b>18</b>	<b>Approve</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Oppose</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Abstain</b>	<b>Motion Passes</b>
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

Michelle Simmons (SOMB Member) – voted Yes (verbally)

## **APPROVAL OF AGENDA:**

The Agenda was then approved by consensus.

## **DEAF, DEAFBLIND, DEAFDISABLED, AND HARD OF HEARING INDIVIDUALS WHO EXPERIENCE**

### **ABUSE (Presentation) – (Attachment #2) – Mary Pat Luetke-Stahlman, Executive Director, DOVE**

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) introduced Mary Pat Luetke-Stahlman. Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) welcomed Mary Pat Luetke-Stahlman, expressed her desire to meet her in person, and thanked her for coming and presenting on this very important topic.

Mary Pat Luetke-Stahlman (Presenter) introduced herself, described her position with DOVE, and discussed the work that DOVE does for the deaf community. She noted there is an ongoing partnership with attorneys, the police department, all those involved with the deaf community and DOVE.

The presentation included the following information:

Who DOVE is:

- They support and advocate for the Deaf, Deaf-Blind, Deaf-Disabled, the hard of hearing, and hearing children of deaf parents.
- DOVE supports Colorado deaf survivors healing journey

DOVE supports Deaf/Blind trauma:

- 7 times more of this population experience violence within their lifetime than the general population

DOVE also supports:

- Colorado Institute for the Deaf and Blind
- No statistics available for deaf/blind research for the LGBTQ, and are 4 times more likely to experience sexual abuse
- Deaf children are 3 times more likely to experience sexual abuse which is up to 50% compared to hearing children
- Deaf girls are 2 times more likely to be raped than a hearing girl, and noted there are higher statistics for black deaf women who identify as transgendered

Comparing statistics:

- 50% of deaf girls have been sexually abused as compared to 25% of hearing girls

- 54% of deaf boys have been sexually abused as compared to 10% of hearing boys
- Deaf children can't always communicate sexual abuse to their parents or authorities as the authorities do not know sign language or have proper interpreters

Authorities (parents, attorneys, and police) do not always believe the deaf child's story of sexual abuse. Mary Pat Luetke-Stahlman (Presenter) continued to discuss various deaf traumatic situations and how they are not understood.

- There is a need for interpreters for the deaf so that they are believed
- Deaf children/deaf people are at increased risk for trauma. There are ongoing communication barriers that often exist within the family and in other key settings.

#### Long-Term Impact within the Deaf Communities

- Language delay/language deprivation (and disfluency) – delay for deaf individuals in learning how to communicate and learn
- Difficulty in learning about safety
- Lack of educational resources such as safety curricula
- Difficulty in sharing or disclosing information about abuse
- Skill building abilities and social skills are poor
- Lack of trust in relationships
- Increased interpersonal violence (IPV)
- Higher chance of becoming a perpetrator (abuser) because of lack of understanding about healthy boundaries

#### What You Can Do:

- Always provide an interpreter or a Certified Deaf Interpreter (CDI) if someone asks for one.
- When in doubt, ask for an assessment of their understanding. This needs to be done by someone that has understanding about deaf culture.
- Consult with specialized agencies such as DOVE for survivor-based support or meetings with survivors of abuse. DOVE cannot help a perpetrator once the individual has been arrested.
- Find a way to fund and recruit someone that is able to provide adequate services for deaf abusers. (It is preferable that a deaf individual is hired for this position.)

#### Some Concerns DOVE Has:

- Lack of qualified mental health services that are affordable and accessible for deaf community members. There are 50,000 deaf individuals in Colorado, with 25,000 of those having been sexually assaulted.
- Hearing individuals that are not fluent in American Sign Language (ASL) are often given mental health treatment priority. This needs to be avoided at all costs.
- Funding sources for Deaf advocacy services are not adequate. DOVE only has 5 staff who can only help approximately 150 individuals each year.
- No additional research or resource opportunities are afforded to agencies who work with the deaf/blind populations.
- Providing interpreters are perceived as a burden and not an accessibility tool.

#### DOVE Services Provide:

- Emergency hotel/shelter
- Resiliency Programs (coping skills and support)
- Advocacy Services (Legal, medical and long-term)
- Support groups including BIPOC, LGBTQ+, parenting, and resilience

- Workshops for survivors only and workshops for community education
- Survivor Kit Program
- Youth Advocacy Program
- STEP (Survivors Teaching Empowerment Program)
- Volunteer Advocate Program
- Technical Assistance and Training Programs
- Case Management

### **Board Discussion:**

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) asked if deaf or hard of hearing victims do not want to report because of the fear of being ostracized. Mary Pat Luetke-Stahlman (Presenter) responded yes and no. She indicated that the “elite deaf” (from prestigious schools, or are from a deaf family) are believed over other deaf individuals, and noted that being deaf is a taboo topic. Mary Pat Luetke-Stahlman also indicated that a deaf person will not report a favorite teacher at school.

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) asked if it would help if hearing treatment providers with no ASL skills would provide a CDI during treatment. Mary Pat Luetke-Stahlman (Presenter) responded that is an option, but not necessarily a good fit due to the deaf culture, and noted that DOVE can offer those resources on a limited basis.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) noted the importance of recognizing and helping this underserved population. He recounted a time when a client he worked with who was almost totally deaf, was mis-adjudicated, and his treatment was not addressed correctly for this disability. Mary Pat Luetke-Stahlman (Presenter) noted the need to pay attention to the little details to realize that often-times individuals are acting out due to frustration of not being understood.

Michelle Simmons (SOMB Member) asked if there is anything the SOMB can do to advocate for DOVE financially or with CDI’s and help with services. Mary Pat Luetke-Stahlman (Presenter) noted that DOVE is currently 90% grant funded, and indicated they are a small organization. She noted the need for more resources to include more CDI’s in Colorado. Mary Pat Luetke-Stahlman suggested sharing information with people to let them know that DOVE exists, and to let them know they can donate to this organization.

### **Audience Discussion:**

None

### **BREAK: 11:08 – 11:26**

### **SOMB COMMITTEE CHARTERS (Action Item) – (Attachment #3) – Erin Austin, DCJ**

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) reviewed the Adult Standards Revisions and the Community Corrections Lifetime Supervision Work Group committee charters for the SOMB.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that if there are any questions, to please let Erin Austin know.

### **Board Discussion:**

None

### **Audience Discussion:**

None

**ADULT STANDARDS SECTION 6.000 (Action Item) – (Attachment #4) – Elliot Moen, DCJ**

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that work is being done on the audit recommendation regarding research and citation procedures. He indicated they are using a new template that will indicate which sections have applicable research and those that there is no research available. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that the SOMB staff are looking at each section of the Standards to verify they are supported by research, and to look for research for those that are not supported by research, some of which may be due to procedural components. He indicated that these templates will be inserted at the beginning of each section which include a link to the research citations and abstracts that will be housed on the electronic document repository.

Elliot Moen (SOMB Staff) reviewed the work done on the Adult Standards Section 6.000 research citations. He noted that some sections have extensive footnoting, and indicated that this process will eliminate excessive footnoting by including a link to the appropriate research, and will discuss the sections that are supported by this research.

Elliot Moen (SOMB Staff) also noted that there is now a process for those Standards that do not have research, but indicated that professional guidelines, best practices, and field professional practices are supporting these Standards.

Elliot Moen (SOMB Staff) mentioned that this will be accomplished by 6/30/21 for both the Adult and Juvenile Standards. He noted this is a fluid process that will document when changes are made and will be easy to follow.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) also indicated that beyond professional organizations and guidelines, there are sometimes Statutory prescriptions which will be included as statutory citations.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted to reach out to Elliot Moen (SOMB Staff) with any feedback.

**Board Discussion:**

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) asked if the research benchmarks and the type of research that will be accepted will be included in the Standards. Elliot Moen responded that the Research Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) covers this process and what is considered valid research. He noted the research process could be included in the Standards.

**Audience Discussion:**

An audience member noted that footnotes need to be accessible for all Standards. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) responded that footnoting each and every Standard with a citation would double the size of the Standards, and indicated that this new process still directs the reader to the proper research cited. The audience member clarified her comment. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) indicated that all statements of fact will be footnoted throughout the Standards, and noted that for procedural standards, resources will be provided.

Dale Jenkins (Audience Member) indicated that the Section 6.000 Standards revisions public comment period was 20 days and not 30 days. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) confirmed that the comment period is 20 days.

Marsha Brewer (Audience Member) indicated that some citations that were not valid research were cited eight times. Elliot Moen (SOMB Staff) responded that some information that is submitted is not necessarily research,



but are only informative and opinion papers. He noted that those are not cited for Standards that require actual research, and indicated that this is addressed in the SOP.

Dale Jenkins (Audience Member) asked how the researcher verifies that the research is valid and presents all views on the topic. Elliot Moen (SOMB Staff) responded that the research strength is reviewed and validated from an unbiased lens. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) indicated that the SOMB solicits public feedback on suggested research, and noted that the searches are done by keywords, regardless whether the research is pro or con. Kim Kline (SOMB Vice-Chair) indicated that there are specific benchmarks which are looked at to determine the best research. Elliot Moen mentioned that he looks at the findings that are statistically significant.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) indicated that Elliot Moen has professional integrity and ethics, and expressed his concern with those questioning him and the research process.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) mentioned that when beginning a literature review, the filters and the criteria (keywords) are determined by the committees before the literature review is conducted.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Member) noted that if there is any additional feedback, to please let Elliot Moen know. He indicated that there will be additional research citation presentations in the coming months for Board review.

#### **LEGISLTATIVE UPDATE (Presentation) - (No Attachment) – Joel Malecka, CDPS**

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) introduced Joel Malecka, who is the CDPS Legislative Liaison.

Joel Malecka (Presenter) introduced himself and briefly outlined his past experience. He went on to discuss the upcoming and relevant items from the Colorado General Assembly. He indicated that there is a lot of legislation being driven by emotion and not by expert experience and policy. Joel Malecka noted his job is to take as much professional input, expertise, and stakeholder feedback as possible to the Legislature. He mentioned that there are some legislators who have very strong opinions of what the SOMB is doing and not doing, and noted that the SOMB will work through the Sunset Review. Joel Malecka indicated the need to supply the Legislature with all the important information for the Sunset Review.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) asked Joel Malecka if there will be a formal Sunset Review hearing. Joel Malecka noted his opinion is that they will most likely want a formal Sunset hearing. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky noted that this will be a 3-step process which includes the Sunset Report (prepared in 2019), due to be presented in 2020 by the Department of Regulatory Agencies (DORA) (delayed due to COVID), and then is turned into a bill for legislation. He also indicated there will be hearings during the presentation of the report. Joel Malecka noted he will reach out to Senator Lee to see if they want DORA to present the report. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky responded that he would prefer for DORA to present the recommendations and findings from the report to the Legislature so they understand fully the recommendations that have been made and addressed by the SOMB.

Joel Malecka (Presenter) indicated that the Legislators are relying on anecdotal information that might not necessarily help them fully understand an actual issue. Joel Malecka indicated to let him know of any questions and concerns with issues and policy. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) mentioned that stakeholders are very effective at expressing their opinions, and asked Joel Malecka if it would be beneficial for those in the SOMB field to educate the legislators and give their professional perspective. Joel Malecka responded that it would be helpful to educate them on the work that is being done due to the recent turnover of the legislators. He indicated

that many legislators do not understand all the aspects of the SOMB, and noted that professional perspectives will have a huge impact on how policy is made.

**Board Discussion:**

None

**Audience Discussion:**

None

**LUNCH BREAK: 12:22 – 1:02 pm**

**ADULT STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES INTRODUCTION AND GUIDING PRINCIPLES (Action Item)**  
**– (Attachment #5) – Kim Kline, SOMB, and Taber Powers, SOMB**

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) noted that the Adult Standards Revisions Committee has re-convened, and noted they are reviewing new research or those areas that have not been previously updated. He indicated that the treatment definition has been clarified in the Introduction section, and that this section now includes language that approved treatment providers are monitored through the application, complaint, and standards compliance review processes.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) reiterated that the use of the term “shall” is a requirement and mandated by Statute. She noted the term “should” is used as best practice, and noted that it is a recommendation and not a requirement. Erin Austin continued to review the various revisions that have been added to the Introduction section.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) reviewed the Guiding Principles revisions and noted the addition of Guiding Principle #16 which addresses cultural competency. He indicated that this new language addresses social and cultural factors, and noted that this premise extends to all professional members of the Community Supervision Team (CST) and positive support persons.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that language was added to the Introduction section indicating when revisions to the Standards can be indicated which include outside evaluation recommendations, the Sunset Review process and recommendations, and Legislative audit recommendations.

**Board Discussion:**

Lisa Mayer (SOMB Member) suggested adding “occurs” after the word transition in Guiding Principle #12. Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) indicated she will make the correction.

**Audience Discussion:**

None

**ANNUAL LEGISLATIVE REPORT (Presentation) – (Attachment #6) – Elliot Moen, DCJ, and Yuanting Zhang, DCJ (Handout provided)**

Elliot Moen and Yuanting Zhang (SOMB Staff) gave an overview of the 2020 Annual Legislative report as follows:

- Section 1: Research and Evidence-based Practices
  - Juvenile Transfers to Adult Criminal Justice System
  - Female Sex Traffickers of Minors under 18
  - SOMB Data Collection Analysis
- Section 2: Relevant Policy Issues and Recommendations

- Recommendations
- SOMB 2020 Legislative Audit
- Section 3: Milestones and Achievement
  - Overview of 2020 Accomplishments
  - Adjustments to COVID-19

Yuanting Zhang (SOMB Staff) gave a brief overview of the Data Management collection system results to date which included:

- Data Management System Training results
- Analysis Goals
- Total Entries made in the system to date
- Clients by Court (separated by Adult and Juvenile)
- Evaluation Risk Level by Court (Adult and Juvenile)
- Evaluation Outcomes
- Overall Risk Level at the Beginning and End of Treatment
- Overall Risk Level by Court (Adult and Juvenile)
- Level of Denial at Beginning and End of Treatment
- Discharge Outcomes
- Treatment Outcomes
- Polygraph Exam Results by Court Type (Adult and Juvenile)
- Polygraph Exam Outcomes
- Polygraph Exam Results by Exam Type
- Polygraph Exam Outcomes
- Conclusions
- Efforts in the near future include advanced training on the data management system, and Family awareness workshops

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) indicated that this presentation will be uploaded to the electronic document repository.

### **Board Discussion:**

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) commented that when looking at re-offense rates while in treatment, these could be triggered due to other circumstances or factors. Yuanting Zhang (SOMB Staff) responded that her presentation data is not taking those situations under consideration.

Kari Moore (SOMB Member) asked that regarding the six new sex-crime cases while in treatment what their average lengths of time in treatment were. Yuanting Zhang (SOMB Staff) responded that she will find that data and get back to her.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) asked if all the assigned juvenile risk levels were captured both at the beginning and the end of treatment in the data presented. Yuanting Zhang (SOMB Staff) responded that the N/A risk level was used for juveniles when it was found to be not appropriate.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) asked if there is data that reflects improvement to a client's overall quality of life, and that identifies the overall areas of improvement in their life. He also asked if there could be other areas where there is improvement even though a client was not successfully discharged. Yuanting Zhang (SOMB Staff) responded that she has that data, and indicated she will get that information to him. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted the need to look at changes by type to give another perspective to treatment goals.

**Audience Discussion:**

Dale Jenkins (Audience Member) asked in the Q & A section of the meeting platform if there was any client input included in the Data Management System. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded that it does not include a directly survey of clients, but noted that client input can be in shown in life circumstance, benefits, and so forth. He noted that the data management system is only getting client information indirectly through the provider entries. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) indicated that it would be beneficial to receive more client participation, and to be able to reflect client experience in this process. He noted it would be great to have client input included in the data management system when being discharged from treatment.

**AUDIT COMPLIANCE (Presentation) – (No Attachment) – Marina Borysov, DCJ, and Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, DCJ**

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) supplied a link to the Dashboard for all to review at their convenience.

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) indicated that there are no changes since the last SOMB meeting. She noted that the entire team is actively working on completing Recommendation 1B (Research Citations). Marina Borysov indicated that the SOMB is on track and on time to deliver the completion of this recommendation by the end of June.

**Board Discussion:**

None

**Audience Discussion:**


None

**Adjourn: 2:00 pm**

Respectfully,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jill Trowbridge  
Program Assistant

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

 9-21-21  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Marcelo Kopcow  
Chair of the SOMB

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Event Start Date	Event Start Time	FirstName	LastName	Join Time	Leave Time	Motion to approve the February Minutes as amended?(10:01 am / 10:02 am)	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Allison	Boyd	9:02 am Denver Time	2:00 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Angel	Weant	8:58 am Denver Time	12:02 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Carl	Blake	8:47 am Denver Time	2:00 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Glenn	Knipscheer	9:04 am Denver Time	2:00 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Gregg	Kildow	8:39 am Denver Time	2:00 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Jeff	Shay	8:43 am Denver Time	2:00 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Jesse	Hansen	8:52 am Denver Time	2:01 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Kari	Moore	8:58 am Denver Time	2:00 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Katie	Abeyta	8:55 am Denver Time	2:00 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Kimberly	Kline	8:49 am Denver Time	2:01 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Lisa	Mayer	8:48 am Denver Time	2:00 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Michelle	Simmons	8:58 am Denver Time	2:00 pm Denver Time	Yes	Verbally
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Norma	Aguilar-Dave	9:06 am Denver Time	10:59 am Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Rick	May	9:01 am Denver Time	2:00 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Robin	Singer	8:53 am Denver Time	2:00 pm Denver Time	Abstain	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Sharon	Holbrook	8:51 am Denver Time	2:00 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Steve	Moreno	8:53 am Denver Time	10:03 am Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Taber	Powers	8:56 am Denver Time	2:00 pm Denver Time	Yes	
March 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Theresa	Weiss	8:54 am Denver Time	2:01 pm Denver Time	Yes	
Steve Moreno left at 10:04 am							
Steve Moreno returned at 11:07 am							
Gregg Kildow left at 12:22 pm							
Gregg Kildow returned at 1:24 pm							